ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure Clinical and Economic Highlights

Highlights

In 92% of cases, full thickness primary closure of complex open abdomens was achieved in an average of 7 days by using ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure with Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (NPWT).1

- ABRA + NPWT vs. NPWT alone
  - Majority primarily closed fascia vs. the majority left with mid-line hernia (92% vs. 36% fascial closure)
  - 50% reduction in abdominal OR procedures (6.8 vs. 13.7)
  - 68% reduction in the number of days to any closure (15.8 vs. 50.1)
  - 73% reduction in fistula formation (1 vs. 4)
  - No skin grafts required when using ABRA vs. 43% of patients without ABRA required skin grafts
  - Estimated cost reduction of $12,370 to $47,070 per patient

ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure and NPWT is an easy and reproducible option for primary fascial closure following severe Abdominal Compartment Syndrome (ACS).2

Achieve primary fascial closure following decompressive laparotomy using ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure.3

Using ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure resulted in a 95% reduction in wound area.4

ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure can restore lost abdominal domain and achieve complete repair of the musculofascial support of the abdominal wall, achieving primary closure.5

ABRA uses significantly fewer OR resources, 70% fewer trips to the OR and 76% less OR time because it is adjusted at bedside and allows bedside dressing changes.6

Many of the published articles and posters make reference to the challenges of the open abdomen and the traditional approaches to managing them. Traditional methods include the use of mesh, skin grafts, NPWT, tissue expansion, component separation, pedicled flaps and free-tissue transfer, many of which do not achieve a full thickness primary closure.

ABRA Abdominal Wall Closure is an advancement that achieves a low-tension primary closure of full thickness abdominal wounds, and directly results in patient benefits and significant cost saving.


- “The abdominal re-approximation anchor system (ABRA) is a dynamic closure system that uses elastomers through the full thickness of the abdominal wall that slowly pull the fascia together under continuous variable tension. It is easier to manage than mesh imbrication or sequential suturing and allows for the abdominal wall to oscillate with patient movement and breathing.”
- “Skin breakdown at the ABRA button site was observed in 1 (6%) patient.
- “Consequently, we hypothesize that if the ABThera had been used without the ABRA, a primary fascial closure rate of less than 70% would have been observed in these patients. In spite of this, the addition of the ABRA to the ABThera was associated with a successful primary fascial closure in 92% of patients in our study.”
- “We speculate that early application of the ABRA may be important in achieving successful primary fascial closure. Early application prevents fascial retraction and can start pulling the fascia together while the tissues are relatively more dynamic.”
- “In our series, we observed an equally high rate of closure in patients with nontraumatic etiologies, possibly because we used the ABThera system, which allowed for suctioning of the pelvis, paracolic gutters and subdiaphragmatic spaces.”
- “The ABRA system also leaves the edges of the native fascia undisturbed, which is an attractive advantage at the time of definitive closure.”
- “Consideration should be given to applying mechanical traction in addition to the ABThera in patients predicted to be at high risk for failure to achieve primary fascial closure.”

• “Complete fascial apposition was achieved in 83% of the patients across the entire study and in 91% of the patients in the final 2 years. The incisional hernia rate was 13% at 6 months and 11% at 12 months.
• “The great benefit of the ABRA over other techniques is that these approximating moves can be made outside of the operating room without deconstructing the device itself. This is done at the bedside in the intensive care unit with the assistance of a nurse such that the surgeon need only be present for the tightening, which takes less than 5 minutes.”
• “Once the ABRA is applied initially, the patient has no need to return to the operating until final closure unless there are unforeseen reasons to do so.”
• “When faced with the dilemma of an open abdomen primary fascial closure is preferable to planned ventral hernia because the former results in fewer complications for patients.”
• “We found a significant association between primary fascial approximation and a shorter duration of ABRA placement to removal. The median duration of application was 7 days in those who were primarily closed versus 17 days in those lacking primary fascial apposition...For those reasons, we recommend aggressive tightening of the elastomers to obtain closure as soon as possible.”


• “Delayed primary closure of OA in septic patients could be achieved in 88% with this new approximation system. However, the risk of hernia development remained. We consider this system a useful tool in the treatment of septic patients with an open abdomen.”
• “In conclusion, our results advocate the abdominal re-approximation system as a useful aid in the management of the infected open abdomen.”


• “Results: The dynamic closure system remained in place an average of 48 days and was applied an average of 18 days after the beginning of treatment for the open abdominal wound. Delayed primary fascial closure was achieved in 14 of 23 patients (61%) without further surgery.”
• “An overall reduction in wound area of 95% was achieved. Conclusion: This dynamic wound closure technique permitted the delayed primary closure of open abdomens in 61% of cases when treatment was instituted an average of 18 days after initial laparotomy.”
• “Dynamic wound closure refers to placing the abdominal fascia under continuous variable medial tension that approximates the wound edges while allowing them to remain sufficiently mobile to oscillate with breathing and patient movement.”
• “A more timely application of the system at the first laparotomy that results in the open abdomen might yield faster and higher closure rates. Arguably, there would be less scar tissue present to impede fascial reapproximation.”
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